



11 MAY 2007

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
P.O. Box 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP
1825 EYE STREET NW
WASHINGTON, DC 20006-5403

In re Application of MARINO et al :
U.S. Application No.: 10/562,058 :
PCT Application No.: PCT/US2004/023704 :
Int. Filing Date: 23 July 2004 : DECISION
Priority Date Claimed: 25 July 2003 :
Attorney Docket No.: V7500.0003/P003 :
For: APPARATUS FOR AND METHOD OF :
USING A DIVERSITY ANTENNA :
:

This is in response to applicant's "Petition Under 37 C.F.R. 1.47" filed 29 January 2007.

BACKGROUND

On 23 July 2004, applicant filed international application PCT/US2004/023704, which claimed priority of an earlier United States application filed 25 July 2003. The thirty-month period for paying the basic national fee in the United States expired on 25 January 2006.

On 22 December 2005, applicant filed national stage papers in the United States Designated/Elected Office (DO/EO/US). The submission was accompanied by, *inter alia*, the basic national fee required by 35 U.S.C. 371(c)(1).

On 28 July 2006, the DO/EO/US mailed a Notification of Missing Requirements Under 35 U.S.C. 371 (Form PCT/DO/EO/905), which indicated that an oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.497 must be filed.

On 29 January 2007, applicant filed the present petition under 37 CFR 1.47.

DISCUSSION

A petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a) must be accompanied by: (1) an oath or declaration by each applicant on his or her own behalf and on behalf of the nonsigning joint inventors, (2) factual proof that the missing joint inventors refuse to join in the application or cannot be reached after diligent effort, (3) the fee set forth in §1.17(i), and (4) the last known addresses of the nonsigning joint inventors.

With regard to item (1) above, applicant has submitted a declaration signed by the available inventors each on his own behalf and on behalf of the nonsigning inventor

With regard to item (2) above, MPEP 409.03(d) states in relevant part,

Where a refusal to sign the application papers is alleged, the circumstances of this refusal must be specified in an affidavit or declaration by the person to whom the refusal was made. Statements by a party not present when an oral refusal is made will not be accepted.

Before a refusal can be alleged, it must be demonstrated that a bona fide attempt was made to present a copy of the application papers (specification, including claims, drawings, and oath or declaration) to the nonsigning inventor for signature. A copy of the application papers should be sent to the last known address of the nonsigning inventor, or, if the nonsigning inventor is represented by counsel, to the address of the nonsigning inventor's attorney.

When there is an express oral refusal, that fact along with the time and place of the refusal must be stated in the affidavit or declaration. When there is an express written refusal, a copy of the document evidencing that refusal must be made part of the affidavit or declaration.

When it is concluded by the 37 CFR 1.47 applicant that a nonsigning inventor's conduct constitutes a refusal, all facts upon which that conclusion is based should be stated in the affidavit or declaration. If there is documentary evidence to support facts alleged in the affidavit or declaration, such evidence should be submitted. Whenever a nonsigning inventor gives a reason for refusing to sign the application oath or declaration, that reason should be stated in the affidavit or declaration.

The petition states that joint inventor William Carpenter refuses to sign the application papers. Although the petition contains sufficient evidence of Mr. Carpenter's refusal to cooperate (see affidavit of Jeremy Cubert, ¶5), the petition does not illustrate that a bona fide attempt was made to present a complete copy of the application papers (including specification, claims, drawings, and oath/declaration) to Mr. Carpenter prior to his refusal. Mr. Cubert's statement only demonstrates that Mr. Carpenter was presented with declaration and assignment documents. Petitioner is advised that if it cannot be shown that a complete copy of the application papers was presented to Mr. Carpenter prior to the 09 January 2007 refusal, then petitioner must demonstrate both the presentation of a complete copy of the application papers to Mr. Carpenter and Mr. Carpenter's refusal to sign subsequent to such presentation.

With regard to item (3) above, applicant is advised that effective 22 November 2004, the fee for a petition under 37 CFR 1.47 increased to \$200.00. Any deficiency in the petition fee will be charged to Deposit Account No. 04-1073 as authorized in the petition.

With regard to item (4) above, the petition states the last known address of the nonsigning inventor.

CONCLUSION

For the reasons above, the petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a) is DISMISSED without prejudice.

If reconsideration on the merits of the petition is desired, a proper response must be filed within TWO (2) MONTHS from the mail date of this decision. Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR 1.136(a). Failure to timely file a proper response will result in abandonment of the application. Any reconsideration request should include a cover letter entitled "Renewed Petition Under 37 CFR 1.47(a)". No additional petition fee is required.

Please direct further correspondence with respect to this matter to Mail Stop PCT, Commissioner for Patents, Office of PCT Legal Administration, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450, with the contents of the letter marked to the attention of the Office of PCT Legal Administration.

Bryan Lin

Bryan Lin
PCT Legal Examiner
PCT Legal Office

Telephone: 571-272-3303
Facsimile: 571-273-0459

05/11/2007 SBASHEIR 00000002 041073 10562058
Sale Ref: 00000002 DAH: 041073 10562058
01 FC:1463 70.00 DA 130.00 OP

Adjustment date: 05/11/2007 SBASHEIR
01731/2007 MKAYPAGH 00000128 10562058
01 FC:1464 -130.00 OP